# Laser Forming Applications, Advantages and Disadvantages



#### PG 1<sup>st</sup> Year-Second Semester School of Laser Science and Engineering



- Generally, BM is used to bend thin sheets and tubes with a small temperature gradient through the material thickness, which is negligible.
- Moreover, the laser beam diameter is much greater than the material's thickness, and in some cases, by a factor of ten.
- □ This is to allow more energy to penetrate the material.
- □ Hence, the scanning speed should be slower than the TGM's case in order to achieve a uniform distribution of temperature in the direction of thickness.
- Depending on a number of factors including the process parameters, the prebending orientation of the sheet, the pre-existing residual stresses, a forced air stream acting on the bottom of the sheet.

#### Advantages



- The noncontact nature of the process that makes it independent of tool inaccuracies that might result from wear and deflection, since no external forces are involved. It also makes the process more flexible.
- Precise deformation can be achieved because spring-back behaviour is not involved which is related to the quality of product.
- □ Forming is available in inaccessible areas because this process is a non-contact forming process.
- □ Ability to more accurately control the energy source and thus the forming process, compared to flame bending and mechanical forming in general.
- □ Brittle, hard and thick material can be processed.
- Minimal heat-affected zone size or material degradation compared to flame bending where the heat source is more diffuse.
- □ A wide variety of complex shaped parts can be obtained through the development of new irradiation patterns.

#### Disadvantages



- The noncontact nature of the process that makes it independent of tool inaccuracies that might result from wear and deflection, since no external forces are involved. It also makes the process more flexible.
- Precise deformation can be achieved because spring-back behaviour is not involved which is related to the quality of product.
- □ Forming is available in inaccessible areas because this process is a non-contact forming process.
- □ Ability to more accurately control the energy source and thus the forming process, compared to flame bending and mechanical forming in general.
- □ Brittle, hard and thick material can be processed.
- Minimal heat-affected zone size or material degradation compared to flame bending where the heat source is more diffuse.
- □ A wide variety of complex shaped parts can be obtained through the development of new irradiation patterns.



- Plastic deformation near to the center of the beam or near, while farther away, close to the root of the buckle, the material is subjected to elastic bending.
- □ If the heat source traverses the entire length of the sheet, then no restraining forces exist to hold the elastically bent portion in place during cooling. Thus, elastic recovery occurs at the root, while the center remains bent, resulting in the sheet being bent along the center line.
- □ The direction of the bending that results from the buckling mechanism is unpredictable.
- However, it has been observed that at relatively high scan rates, say 15 mm/s (depending on the processing conditions), bending is always toward the laser beam. It only becomes unpredictable at lower speeds.
- □ Bending by the buckling mechanism does not increase the sheet thickness at the bend. Like the TGM, the bending angle can be increased by repeating the process.



Shi et al. (2008) A study on bending direction of sheet metal in laser forming, **Journal of Applied Physics 103**, 053101



Bending directions of plates (scanning velocity of 12 mm/s, beam diameter of 10 mm, and plate size of 200x200x0.6 mm<sup>3</sup>)



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439





Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(b) P=300W, d=7mm, T=0.75mm



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(c) P=300W, d=10mm, T=0.75mm



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(d) P=500W, d=10mm, T=0.75mm



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(e) P=600W, d=10.6mm, T=0.75mm



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(f) P=700W, d=13mm, T=1.5mm



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of

laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(a) with different cooling conditions



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439

30

15 Bending angle () Experiment: Carbon steel, P=600W, d=10.6mm, v=25.4mm/s, T=0.75mr Experiment: AISI 304, P=600W, d=10.6mm, v=25.4mm/s, T=0.75mm Experiment: Carbon steel, P=300W, d=7mm, v=12.7mm/s, T=0.75mm Experiment: AISI 304, P=300W, d=7mm, v=12.7mm/s, T=0.75mm Experiment: Carbon steel, P=300W, d=7mm, v=17.8mm/s, T=0.75mm -30 Experiment: AISI 304, P=300W, d=7mm, v=17.8mm/s, T=0.75mm Experiment: Carbon steel, P=300W, d=4mm, v=5.1mm/s, T=0.75mm Experiment: Carbon steel, P=300W, d=4mm, v=7.6mm/s, T=0.75mm O Experiment: AISI 304, P=300W, d=4mm, v=7.62mm/s, T=0.75mm -45 2 10 8 Number of laser scanning passes

(b) with different materials



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(c) with different thicknesses



Hu et al. (2002) Experimental and numerical modeling of buckling instability of laser sheet forming, International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 42; 1427–1439



(d) with different laser beam diameters



- □ The upsetting mechanism evolves when uniform heating of a localized zone is achieved through the thickness of the sheet.
- □ Thus, the process parameters may be similar to those of the buckling mechanism, except for the diameter of the heat source area that is relatively small.



- □ In UM, nearly uniform temperature and hence, nearly equal plastic deformation occurs along the thickness of the sheet, but the stiffer geometry or higher sheet thickness offers more moment of inertia, buckling is prevented and uniform compression (in plane strain) is resulted with a slight bending towards laser beam.
- □ So, the sheet is compressed with an almost constant strain along the thickness, causing a shortening of the sheet and an increase in thickness.



Process steps of laser-bending by the upsetting mechanism (UM): (a) heating; (b) cooling.



- Similar to the BM, but the dimension of the heated area is much smaller compared to the sheet thickness.
- Due to nearly homogeneous heating of the sheet and the restrictions in thermal expansion from the surrounding material, the sheet is compressed with an almost constant strain along the thickness, causing a shortening of the sheet and an increase in thickness.
- □ If the sheet is heated along a line across its width, the compressive strains will remain. Repeating the process will lead to an increase in overall thickness.



Shi et al. (2012) Effect of different heating methods on deformation of metal plate under upsetting mechanism in laser forming, <u>Optics & Laser Technology</u> 44(2); 486–491

Table 1. Experimental conditions of laser forming.

No.	Laser power P(W)	Scanning speed $\nu$ (mm/s)	Spot diameter <i>d</i> (mm)	Size L×W×h(mm³)
Case 1	600	30	8	50×50×1.5
Case 2	800	30	6	50×50×1.5
Case 3	500	15	6	50×50×1.5
Case 4	600	15	6	50×50×1.5
Case 5	800	25	6	50×50×1.5
Case 6	800	20	6	50×50×1.5
Case 7	600	20	6	50×50×1.5
Case 8	600	30	4	50×50×1.5



Shi et al. (2012) Effect of different heating methods on deformation of metal plate under upsetting mechanism in laser forming, <u>Optics & Laser Technology</u> 44(2); 486–491



Numerical and experimental results of bending angles at different process parameters



Shi et al. (2012) Effect of different heating methods on deformation of metal plate under upsetting mechanism in laser forming, <u>Optics & Laser Technology</u> 44(2); 486–491



Three scanning strategies under the upsetting mechanism



Shi et al. (2012) Effect of different heating methods on deformation of metal plate under upsetting mechanism in laser forming, <u>Optics & Laser Technology</u> 44(2); 486–491





Shi et al. (2012) Effect of different heating methods on deformation of metal plate under upsetting mechanism in laser forming, <u>Optics & Laser Technology</u> 44(2); 486–491



the relative temperature difference is defined as

$$\zeta_T = (T_{sur} - T_{mid}) 100\%/T_{sur}$$

 $T_{sur}$  denotes the highest temperature of the top or bottom surfaces

 $T_{mid}$  the highest temperature of the middle surface

Different velocities and diameters (P=300 W)



Shi et al. (2012) Effect of different heating methods on deformation of metal plate under upsetting mechanism in laser forming, <u>Optics & Laser Technology</u> 44(2); 486–491



