

Ex/PHIL/PG/4.5.9/2022

MASTER OF ARTS EXAMINATION, 2022

(2nd Year, 4th Semester)

PHILOSOPHY

[PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE IV]

Time : Two Hours

Full Marks : 30

Group – A

1. Discuss the view of social constructivism about science. In this connection, discuss the “Bad View” and also why it needs to be opposed at all cost. 10+5=15

Or

2. In the context of anti-realism, discuss the relevance of the distinction between the observable and the unobservable. Explain the realist criticism of the notion of “Empirical Adequacy”. 5+10=15

Group – B

3. What is the feminist critique of science? Can Sandra Harding’s context-sensitive objectivity solve the problem faced by weak objectivity? Discuss briefly. 10+5=15

Or

4. What do you think is the substantial difference between positivist and continental philosophies of science? How does Heidegger criticize technological thinking (calculative thinking)? Discuss. 8+7=15

Ex/PHIL/PG/4.5.9/2022

MASTER OF ARTS EXAMINATION, 2022

(2nd Year, 4th Semester)

PHILOSOPHY

[PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE IV]

Time : Two Hours

Full Marks : 30

Group – A

1. Discuss the view of social constructivism about science. In this connection, discuss the “Bad View” and also why it needs to be opposed at all cost. 10+5=15

Or

2. In the context of anti-realism, discuss the relevance of the distinction between the observable and the unobservable. Explain the realist criticism of the notion of “Empirical Adequacy”. 5+10=15

Group – B

3. What is the feminist critique of science? Can Sandra Harding’s context-sensitive objectivity solve the problem faced by weak objectivity? Discuss briefly. 10+5=15

Or

4. What do you think is the substantial difference between positivist and continental philosophies of science? How does Heidegger criticize technological thinking (calculative thinking)? Discuss. 8+7=15