

Ex/Phil/PG/1.2/12/2018

MASTER OF ARTS EXAMINATION, 2018

(1st Year, 1st Semester)

PHILOSOPHY

[Logic (Western)]

Full Marks : 30

Time : Two Hours

The figures in the margin indicate full marks.

Use a separate answer-script for each group.

Group - A

1. Construct a formal proof of validity for each of the following arguments :

(a) Any friend of Al is a friend of Bill. Therefore anyone who knows a friend of Al knows a friend of Bill. (Px : x is a person. Fxy : x is a friend of y. Kxy : x knows y. a : Al. b : Bill).

(b) $(x) \{ Kx \supset [(\exists y) Lxy \supset (\exists z) Lzx] \}$
 $(x) [(\exists z) Lzx \supset Lxx]$
 $\sim (\exists x) Lxx$
 $\therefore (x) (Kx \supset (y) \sim Lxy)$

[Turn over]

[2]

(c) Construct demonstration for the following :

$$(y) [Fy \supset (\exists x) Fx] \quad (4 \times 2) + 2 = 10$$

Or

2. Symbolize the following using the suggested notations.

(a) If something is missing, then if nobody calls the police, it will not be recovered. (Mx : x is missing. Px : x is a person. Cx : x calls the police. Rx : x will be recovered).

(b) Anyone who promises everything to everyone is certain to disappoint somebody. (Px : x is a person. Pxyz : x promises y to z. Dxy : x disappoints y).

(c) No charity receives all of his money from any single person. (Cx : x is a charity. Mx : x is money. Px : x is a person. Bxy : x belongs to y. Dxyz : x donates y to z).

(d) Prove the invalidity of the following argument

$$(\exists x)(Ax \cdot Bx)$$

$$Ac$$

$$\therefore Bc$$

$$2+3+3+2=10$$

[*Turn over*]

[3]

3. Prove the following in System T

(a) $M \sim p \vee M \sim q \vee M (p \vee q)$

(b) $(p \rightarrow q) \supset (M p \supset M q)$ 5

Or

4. State the axioms of System T. 5

Group - B

5. (a) If S is a formal system in which for each formula A of S there is a formula A' of S that on the intended interpretation expresses the negation of A , then prove that if S is simply consistent, then it is absolutely consistent. 5

(b) If S is a formal system for which it is a metatheorem that $A, A' \vdash_S B$ (where A and B are arbitrary formulas of S , and A' expresses the negation of A on the intended interpretation of S), then prove that if S is absolutely consistent, then it is simply consistent. 5

Or

[Turn over]

[4]

6. (a) Define a maximal p -consistent set of PS.

(b) Prove that any p -consistent set of PS is a subset of some maximal p -consistent set of PS . 2+8=10

7. Prove that $\Gamma \cup \{\sim A^2\}$ is a p -inconsistent set of PS iff $\Gamma \not\models_{PS} A$. 5

Or

8. Prove that if $\Gamma \not\models_{PS} A$, then $\Gamma \not\models_{\overline{P}} A$. 5
